

## Introduction

- Multiplicative reasoning (MR) is a key developmental understanding (Simon, 2006)
- It requires:
- A significant conceptual shift from additive reasoning (Tzur et al., 2013).
- A move from thinking of number as a composite unit to thinking of two composite units with transformations or coordinating operations (Steffe, 1992)
- MR as an approach to quantitative thinking is challenging for teachers to develop in their students (Carrier, 2014), yet it is foundational to advanced mathematics.


## Research Design

- Developed and tested a MR intervention for third graders with mathematics disabilities
- Implementation science approach for development (see Cook \& Odom, 2013)
- Employed a mix of quantitative and qualitative research methods to engage in iterative testing and revision cycles.
- An iterative testing and revision cycles, with Years 1-2 involving Brief Learning Trial and Feasibility studies to test and improve the intervention design components and Year 3 Pilot study will explore fidelity and the promise of the intervention for a sample of thirdgrade students receiving Tier 2 instruction, through a small cluster randomized control trial with students nested in classes.


## Participants in Brief Learning Trials

- Two $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade teachers and 10 students with mathematics difficulties (scored $\leq 12^{\text {th }}$ percentile AimswebPlus Concepts and Applications)

|  | Gender | Race/Ethnicity | EL status | Eligible for FRL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher A Students | Male, $n=2$ <br> Female, $n=4$ | White/Not Hispanic, $n=3$ Laotian, $n=1$ | Spanish, $n=3$ | $n=6$ |
| Teacher B <br> Students | Male, $n=3$ <br> Female, $n=1$ | White/Not Hispanic, $n=3$ <br> American Indian, $n=1$ | Spanish, $n=2$ | $n=3$ |
| Note. None of the students was receiving special education services |  |  |  |  |

## Measures

- Student Measures
- Progress monitoring
- Student satisfaction survey
- Teacher Measures
- Teacher survey
- Teacher interview
- Fidelity
- Lesson transcriptions compared to lesson script


## Sample Items from Progress Monitoring Assessment

| 1. Which equation represents the picture below? |
| :--- |
| A. $2 \times 4=8$ <br> B. $2+6=8$ <br> C. $4 \times 2=8$ <br> D. $4+4=8$ |
| A. $12 \div 3=4$ <br> B. $12 \div 2=6$ <br> C. $12 \div 6=2$ <br> D. $12 \div 4=3$ |
| Ahich equation is the inverse of $4 \times 5=20$ ? 4. Which of the following represents a correct fact family? <br> B. $5 \times 4=20$  |
| A. $18 \div 2=9 \quad 18 \div 9=2 \quad 6 \times 3=18 \quad 3 \times 6=18$  <br> D. $20 \div 5=4$ B. $18 \div 3=6 \quad 6 \times 3=18 \quad 3 \times 6=18 \quad 18 \div 6=3$ <br> C. $3+5=8 \quad 4 \times 2=8 \quad 5+3=8 \quad 2 \times 4=8$  |

## Intervention

- Teachers delivered the MR intervention in small groups for 10 weeks.


## Unit 1: Meaning of Multiplication

30-minute daily instruction for 4 weeks

Lessons 1-5

## Unit 2: Strategies for Multiplication

20-minute daily instruction for 6 weeks

Lessons 1-5

## Unit 1: The Meaning of Multiplication

- Relate multiplication and addition and use that knowledge to write related multiplication and addition equations. (CCSS: 3.OA.1; 3.OA.9).
2 - Relate division and multiplication and use that knowledge to write division equations. (CCSS: 3.OA.2; 3.OA.9).

3 - Relate multiplication and division using equal-size groups and understand that multiplication and division are inverse operations. Use that knowledge to write related multiplication and division equations. (CCSS: 3.OA.1, 3.OA.2, 3.OA.6)
4 - Relate multiplication and division using arrays and understand that multiplication and division are inverse operations. Use that knowledge to write related multiplication and division equations. (CCSS: 3.OA.1, 3.OA.2, 3.OA.6)

5 - Solve division problems by thinking of the corresponding multiplication. Determine the unknown whole number in a multiplication or division equation relating three whole numbers. (CCSS: 3.OA.4, 3.OA.6)
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## Unit 2: Strategies for Multiplication

Lesson
1

- Use models and equal-size groups to understand multiplication with multiples of 5 and 10 . Identify patterns in the multiplication table and explain them using properties of operations. (CCSS-M: 3.OA.7, 3.OA.9)
- Use models and equal-size groups to understand multiplication with multiples of 2, 4, and 8. Identify patterns in the multiplication table and explain them using properties of operations. (CCSS-M: 3.OA.7, 3.OA.9).

3 - Use models and equal-size groups to understand multiplication with multiples of 3 and 6 . Identify patterns in the multiplication table and explain them using properties of operations. (CCSS-M: 3.OA.7, 3.OA.9).

4 - Use models and equal-size groups to understand multiplication with multiples of 9. Apply properties of operations as strategies to multiply and divide; identify patterns in the multiplication table and explain them using properties of operations. (CCSS-M: 3.0A.5, 3.0A.7, 3.OA.9)
5 - Use models and equal-size groups to understand multiplication with multiples of 7. Apply properties of operations as strategies to multiply and divide; identify patterns in the multiplication table and explain them using properties of operations. (CCSS-M: 3.0A.5, 3.0A.7, 3.OA.9)

## Unit 2: Sample Lesson Excerpt

 of operations. (CCSM. 1. 3.0A.7:3.0A9)
 Vocobilay. Commutative propecty of multiontiont Proctice Problems 1-15

## Teaching the Lesson

Mutivipication Facts: 5s and 10 s
Teacher:-In our last lessons, we found that multipication and division are related because the
 in our lat lessons, what equation would you wite based on these pictures? (Disp their esuation: After ful
given with oech p picture)
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## Unit 2: Sample Lesson Excerpt



## Results

- Teacher Survey: 4-point rating scale (4 = strongly agree, 1= strongly disagree)
- Lesson scripts were helpful ( $M=3.4$ )
- Lessons provided sufficient opportunities for students to respond ( $M=4.0$ )
- Students were engaged with the instructional materials ( $M=4.0$ )
- Students would improve in multiplicative reasoning skills ( $M=3.5$ )
- MR intervention incorporated evidence-based practices ( $M=3.9$ )
- MR intervention aligned with third-grade standards ( $M=3.6$ )


## Results

## - Teacher Interviews:

- Students needed the repetition and re-teaching included in the lessons.
- Student responses in the early lessons indicated they lack number and operation sense.
- Both teachers liked the strategies and reported that their students' performance improved in terms of understanding multiplication and division as inverse operations.


## Results

- Lesson Analysis
- Logistical
- Length of lessons too long for typical intervention class
- Classroom management of small group instruction impacts pacing of lessons.
- Intervention Content
- Effective representations within the intervention: Number line and equal size groupings
- Mathematical foundations:
- Relationships - multiplication and addition; division and subtraction
- Pattern identification
- Use of precise academic language


## Implications for revisions and testing

- Shorter lessons
- Increased focus on conceptual understanding
- Included more interactive components
- Warm-up
- Think-pair-share
- Hands-on explorations
- Sharpened representations
- Identified classroom management and questioning strategies for inclusion in professional development
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